

**SIXTH ADDENDUM TO THE  
CERTIFIED FINAL PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (PEIR)  
FOR THE GENERAL PLAN 2025 PROGRAM  
CITY OF RIVERSIDE, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA**

(State Clearinghouse Number 2004021108)

**CERTIFIED NOVEMBER 2007**

**RESOLUTION NUMBER 21535**

**SIXTH ADDENDUM – OCTOBER 8, 2013**

**RESOLUTION NUMBER XXXXX**

**CASE NUMBERS – P12-0334 (GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT),  
P12-0335 (SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT TO  
AMEND THE HUNTER BUSINESS PARK SPECIFIC PLAN),  
P12-0336 (REZONE/ZONING CODE MAP AMENDMENT) &  
P13-0630 (ZONING CODE TEXT AMENDMENT) –**

**REZONING PROGRAM FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 2006-2014 HOUSING  
ELEMENT OF THE GENERAL PLAN 2025**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Section 1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose and Background.....	1
1.2 Lead Agency and Discretionary Approvals.....	2
1.3 Documents Incorporated by Reference.....	2
1.4 CEQA Requirements for Use of an Addendum .....	3
1.5 Summary of Analysis and Findings for an Addendum .....	3
<b>Section 2 Description of the Proposed action .....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Project Description.....	6
2.2 Environmental Setting.....	7
<b>Section 3 Environmental Checklist.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Section 4 References.....</b>	<b>22</b>

## SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Purpose and Background

This Fifth Addendum to the Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report for the General Plan 2025 Program has been prepared by the City of Riverside (“City”) in conformance with the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code, § 21000 et seq.) (“CEQA”), the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3 § 15000 et seq.) and the City of Riverside CEQA Resolution No. 21106, to address minor changes to the General Plan 2025 Program (“Program”) (as defined below) as a result of the implementation program of the Housing Element of the General Plan 2025 (“Housing Element 2006-2014”).

The Housing Element consists of three components which include the Housing Element, an Implementation Plan, and a Housing Technical Report. The Housing Element contains Objectives and Policies that serve to demonstrate specific implementation actions to address current and future housing needs. The Implementation Plan includes specific housing programs consisting of implementation tools to implement the Objectives and Policies of the Housing Element through 2014. The Housing Technical Report serves as a background report for the Housing Element and includes a detailed analysis of housing needs and constraints to housing. It also includes an inventory of available land for housing describing the sites that are subject to this Rezone Program, and an evaluation of accomplishments of current housing programs. In addition, the Housing Technical Report provides a summary of comments and input received from the community as a result of the public outreach.

The City is proposing to implement the policies as stated in the 2006-2014 Housing Element in compliance with State Housing Element Law Government Code Sections 65580-65590.1. State housing law mandates that local governments adequately plan through policies and programs to meet the existing and projected housing needs of all economic segments of the community. The State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) provides the authority to certify that local housing elements comply with state law. On September 4, 2012, HCD found the City of Riverside’s 2006-2014 Housing Element in substantial compliance with state law. As part of this finding, HCD required the City of Riverside implement rezoning actions contained in the Housing Element’s policy program

The General Plan EIR, Fourth Addendum concluded that the 2006-2014 Housing Element contained directives for future actions that could increase development potential, increase the density or intensity of future development, and modify the Riverside Municipal Code and Zoning Ordinance provisions that relate to development. Further, it was determined that implementation of the Housing Element would require amendments to the City of Riverside Municipal Code. The prior CEQA analysis found that the 2006-2014 Housing Element, an update to the Housing Element of the City of Riverside General Plan, was prepared consistent with the City’s General Plan and with State Housing Element law. The General Plan EIR, Fifth Addendum concluded that no adverse environmental impacts would result from adoption and implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element, which included future rezoning actions. No mitigation measures were necessary.

The 2006-2014 Housing Element policy program cited the potential for additional housing capacity with the future adoption of amendments to the City's Zoning Code to rezone certain sites to provide sufficient capacity to meet the projected growth needs during the 2006-2014 Planning Period. On October 8, 2013, the City Council will consider the rezone of these sites through the adoption of a rezoning program for parcels identified in the adopted 2006-2014 Housing Element. The General Plan EIR and subsequent Addendums contained analysis of the environmental effects associated with these rezones.

Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15164(a), this Addendum to the EIR for the Rezoning Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan is the appropriate environmental documentation prepared for this project (rezone program). Since the 2007 certification of the General Plan EIR and subsequent Fourth Addendum (City Council Resolution No. 22437), there have been no substantial changes proposed in the actions described in the analysis, no substantial changes to the circumstances under which the project was undertaken, and no new information of substantial importance that would require subsequent environmental review under CEQA §21166 or CEQA Guidelines §15162. This Addendum to the General Plan EIR concludes the Rezone Program to Implement the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan exhibits no demonstrated change in the prior analysis conducted and provides the necessary environmental clearance for the implementation of the Rezone Program.

## **1.2 Lead Agency and Discretionary Approvals**

This Addendum documents the City's consideration of the potential environmental impacts resulting from the minor changes to the Program as a result of the implementation program of the Housing Element for RHNA cycle 2006- 2014 and explains the City's decision that a subsequent Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is not required.

## **1.3 Documents Incorporated by Reference**

State CEQA Guidelines Section 15150 encourages environmental documents to incorporate by reference other documents that provide relevant data and analysis.

The following documents are hereby incorporated by reference within this Addendum, and all of these documents are considered part of the Final PEIR.

- *Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report – City of Riverside General Plan 2025 Program (Certified Final Environmental Impact Report), State Clearinghouse #2004021108, Volumes I, II & III, Certified November 20, 2007.*
- *First Addendum to the Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for the General Plan 2025 Program, adopted February 24, 2009.*
- *Second Addendum to the Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for the General Plan 2025 Program, adopted November 10, 2009.*
- *Third Addendum to Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for the General Plan 2025 Program, adopted March 20, 2012.*

- *Fourth Addendum to Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for the General Plan 2025 Program, adopted July 24, 2012.*
- *Fifth Addendum to Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for the General Plan 2025 Program, adopted November 13, 2012.*
- *General Plan 2025, adopted November 20, 2007.*
- *General Plan 2025 Implementation Plan, adopted November 20, 2007 and as amended since original adoption.*

These documents incorporated by reference are available for review at the City of Riverside Community Development Department – Planning Division.

#### **1.4 CEQA Requirements for Use of an Addendum**

When a lead agency has already prepared an EIR, CEQA mandates that "no subsequent or supplemental environmental impact report shall be required by the lead agency or any responsible agency, unless one or more of the following events occurs: (a) substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the environmental impact report; (b) substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is being undertaken which will require major revisions in the environmental impact report; (c) new information, which was not known and could not have been known at the time the environmental impact report was certified as complete, becomes available" (Cal. Pub. Res. Code, § 21166). State CEQA Guidelines Section 15162 clarifies that a subsequent EIR or supplemental EIR is only required when "substantial changes" occur to a project or the circumstances surrounding a project, or "new information" about a project implicates "new significant environmental effects" or a "substantial increase in the severity of previously significant effects."

When only some changes or additions to a previously certified EIR are necessary and none of the conditions described in Public Resources Code Section 21166 or Section 15162 of the State CEQA Guidelines calling for the preparation of a subsequent or supplemental EIR are met, CEQA allows the lead agency to prepare and adopt an addendum. (State CEQA Guidelines, § 15164(a).)

Previous analysis of environmental impacts has been conducted for the Program, including an Initial Study, a draft PEIR, and a Certified Final PEIR ("Final PEIR").

#### **1.5 Summary of Analysis and Findings for an Addendum**

Based upon the environmental checklist prepared for the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the Housing Element of the General Plan (Section 3), no further clarification or additional explanation is warranted, beyond the analysis contained in the Final PEIR. The environmental effects associated with the implementation of the rezoning program of the Housing Element 2006-2014 do not require additional analysis beyond the analysis previously prepared and distributed in the Final PEIR.

Pursuant to Section 15164 of the State CEQA Guidelines, the City of Riverside finds that only minor modifications are required to the Circulated Final PEIR and that none of the

conditions described in Public Resources Code Section 21166 or Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines requiring preparation of a subsequent or supplemental EIR have occurred. More specifically, the City of Riverside has determined that:

- That 2006-2014 Housing Element Update found the City would amend the Riverside Municipal Code subsequent to Housing Element adoption, and when amended, that these amendments would further promote new and existing programs designed to reduce constraints to affordable housing.
- That the potential environmental effects associated with the rezoning of land implemented were described in City of Riverside Housing Element, Program EIR and Fourth Addendum adopted by the Riverside City Council by Resolution No. 22437.
- That the 2006-2014 Housing Element Included an inventory and Housing Capacity analysis specifically describing the sites subject to the Rezone Program.
- That Public Resources Code Section 21166 and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines § 15164(b) allows an Addendum to a Program EIR to be prepared if minor technical changes or additions to approved projects are necessary.
- That the City of Riverside has considered the Addendum dated October 8, 2013 together with the previously certified Program and with the comments received and considered during the public hearing process. The determination reflects the independent judgment of the City Council and has been completed in compliance with CEQA, and is adequate for this proposal.
- That the City Council finds the 2006-2014 Housing Element provides the necessary environmental clearance for the adoption of the Rezone Program for the implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan; and
- There are no substantial changes to the Program that would require major revisions of the Final PEIR for the Program, due to new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts identified in the Final PEIR.
- There is no new information of substantial importance, which was not known at the time that the previous Final PEIR for the General Plan 2025 was circulated, indicating that:
  - The implementation of the Housing Element for RHNA cycle 2006 – 2014 will not have one or more significant effects not previously discussed in the Final PEIR, It merely implements the programs and policies contained in the adopted Housing Element pursuant to State law for the RHNA of 2006 – 2014 and does not add population to the City;
  - There are no impacts that were determined to be significant in the previous Final PEIR because this Rezone Program is only implementing the programs contained in the adopted Housing Element;
  - There are no additional mitigation measures or alternatives to the project that would substantially reduce one or more of the significant effects identified in the previous Final PEIR; and

- There are no additional mitigation measures or alternatives which were rejected by the project proponent that are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous Final PEIR that would substantially reduce any significant impact identified in the Final PEIR.
- The Rezone Program is consistent with the following State objectives:
  - Increasing the housing supply and the mix of housing types, tenures, and affordability in the City in an equitable manner;
  - Promoting infill development and socioeconomic equity with the protection of environmental and agricultural resources, and the encouragement of efficient development patterns;
  - Promoting an improved intraregional relationship between jobs and housing; and
  - Allocating a lower proportion of housing need to the very low and low income categories where the City already has a disproportionately high share of households in those income categories, as compared to the countywide distribution of households in these categories from the 2010 Census.

## SECTION 2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

### 2.1 Project Description

This proposal initiated by the City of Riverside includes a series of General Plan, Specific Plan and Zoning Code Amendments for fifteen (15) separate sites at various locations throughout the City as described below. The purpose of this series of amendments is to implement a program to rezone land as identified in the City's previously adopted Housing Element of the General Plan 2025 to provide land that is zoned for higher density residential or mixed use zoning (minimum 20 units-per-acre) toward achieving the City's regional housing need. Specifically, the proposal involves: 1) A General Plan amendment to change the land use designation of seven (7) separate sites totaling approximately 26.7 acres (Site Nos. 1, 2, 3, 11, 12 13 & 15) to HDR – High Density Residential and to change the General Plan land use designation of one (1) site (Site No. 14 - 1.62-acres) to MU-V – Mixed Use – Village; 2) a Specific Plan Amendment to amend the Hunter Business Park Specific Plan (HBSP) to remove one vacant site (Site No. 2 - 7.5 acres total) from the HBSP boundaries; 3) the Rezoning of six (6) separate sites (Site Nos. 2, 3, 11, 12, 13 & 15 - 29.2 acres total) to the R-3-1500 Multiple-Family Residential Zone, rezoning of an approximately 0.96-acre site (Site No. 10) to the R-4 SP Multiple-Family Residential and Specific Plan (Magnolia Avenue) Overlay Zone, rezoning of an approximately 1.62 acre site (Site No. 14) to the MU-V Mixed Use – Village Zone, rezoning of an approximately 17.8 acre site (Site No. 9) to the MU-U SP - Mixed Use – Urban and Specific Plan (University Avenue Specific Plan) Overlay Zone, applying a new TMU-30 – Transit Mixed Use (30 Dwelling Units Per Acre) Overlay Zone to four (4) sites (Site Nos. 4 – 7, 22.6 acres total) and applying a new TMU-60 – Transit Mixed Use (60 Dwelling Units Per Acre) Overlay Zone to an approximately 10.1-acre site (Site No. 8) currently developed with the Downtown Metrolink Station; and 4) an amendment to the Zoning Code (Title 19 of the Municipal Code) to add a new chapter (Chapter 19.225 – TMU – Transit Mixed Use Overlay Zone) to create a new overlay zone that could be applied only to eligible properties that would allow transit oriented mixed-use development at 30 or 60 dwelling units per acre, depending on location. No development of land is proposed as part of this request.

**PROJECT LOCATION:** Fifteen sites Citywide located in Wards 1, 2, 5 & 6 as follows:

Site No. 1 – 3.14 acres of vacant land at the northeast corner of Tequesquite & San Andreas Avenues in Ward 1

Site No. 2 – 7.52 acres of vacant land at the northeast corner of Spruce Street and Rustin Avenue in Ward 1

Site No. 3 – 1.48 acres of vacant land at the southeast corner of Pennsylvania and Sedgwick Avenues in Ward 2

Site No. 4 – 4.35 acres of partially developed and underutilized land at the north side of University Avenue between Commerce Street and Park Avenue in Ward 2

Site No. 5 – 2.32 acre site (underutilized industrial development) at the east side of Commerce Street between Ninth Street and University Avenue in Ward 2

Site No. 6 – 13.66 acre site (underutilized industrial development) at the east side of Commerce Street between Tenth and Ninth Streets in Ward 2

Site No. 7 – 10.08 acre site (underutilized industrial development) bounded by Fourteenth and Tenth Streets and Commerce Street and Howard Avenue in Ward 2

Site No. 8 – 10.08 acre site (Downtown Metrolink Station and parking area) bounded by Fourteenth and Tenth Streets and Vine and Commerce Streets in Ward 2

- Site No. 9 – 17.8-acre commercial shopping center site (approximately 3.5 acres undeveloped) at the southwest corner of University and Chicago Avenues in Ward 2
- Site No. 10 – 0.96 acres of vacant land at the northwest corner of Magnolia Avenue and Jefferson Street in Ward 5
- Site No. 11 – 6.7 acre site consisting of nine vacant parcels and on parcel occupied by a residential structure at the southeast corner of Van Buren Boulevard and Duncan Avenue in Ward 5
- Site No. 12 – 0.73 acres of vacant land at the southeast corner of Myers Street and Magnolia Avenue in Ward 5
- Site No. 13 – 10.3 acres of vacant land at the west side of La Sierra Avenue south of Indiana Avenue in Ward 6
- Site No. 14 – 1.62 acres occupied by two single-family residential dwellings at the west side of Van Buren Blvd. north of Challen Ave. in Ward 6
- Site No. 15 - 2.45 acres of vacant land at the northeast corner of Hole & Mitchell Avenues in Ward 6

The Program description still remains as the adoption and implementation of the following programmatic land use planning documents:

1. Comprehensive update of the City of Riverside General Plan.
2. Comprehensive update of the City of Riverside Zoning Code (Title 19 of the Municipal Code of the City of Riverside) and the rezoning of properties to reflect new zone names and to respond to General Plan land use designation changes in focus areas Citywide.
3. Comprehensive update of the City of Riverside Subdivision Code (Title 18 of the Riverside Municipal Code of the City of Riverside).
4. Amendment to the Noise Code (Title 7 of the Municipal Code of the City of Riverside).
5. Adoption of the Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan.
6. Adoption of Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines.

## 2.2 Environmental Setting

The City's Planning Area for the Program encompasses approximately 143 square miles and includes a broad array of land uses, ranging from high-density residential, and commercial to semi-rural to agricultural.

The City of Riverside is located in western Riverside County and is bounded on the north by the unincorporated Riverside County communities of Rubidoux the City of Jurupa Valley and the cities of Colton and Rialto (San Bernardino County), on the east by Riverside County and the City of Moreno Valley, to the south by unincorporated Riverside County, and to the west by the Riverside County and the cities of Norco and Corona.

**SECTION 3  
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
<b>I. Aesthetics</b>			
<b>Would the project:</b>			
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic building within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-d).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan does not change the analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR, does not affect any scenic resource, degrade the visual character of the area or create any new source of light and glare as the proposed Rezone Program implements the programs previously analyzed. Related to aesthetics, the Housing Element includes objectives and policies that serve to improve the aesthetic quality of neighborhood including policies related to improving housing conditions, code enforcement and historic preservation.</p>			
<b>II. Agriculture Resources</b>			
<p><b>In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effect, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state’s inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:</b></p>			
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) or timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-e).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan involves only implementation of the policies described in the General Plan and does not change the analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR, and does not increase or significantly change the impacts on agricultural resources as no objectives or policies and no land use map changes are proposed that would impact agricultural resources that exist within or near the Project area. The Housing Element consists of identification and analysis of existing and projected housing needs and a statement of goals, policies, quantified objectives, financial resources, and scheduled programs for the preservation, improvement and development of housing. Furthermore, there is no impact on forest land by this project as no forest land exists within the City of Riverside.</p>			
<p><b>III. Air Quality</b>  <b>The following responses are based on the air quality data provided in Appendix c of this document. The air quality data includes an air quality assessment methodology, existing regional and local air quality data, and air emissions calculations.</b></p> <p><b>Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:</b></p>			
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-e).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan does not change the analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR. The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Program “Typical Growth Scenario” and would not result in the violation of any ambient air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant, and would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or create objectionable odors as the proposed changes would not increase or significantly change the impacts on air quality as no objectives or policies and no land use map changes are proposed that would change air quality impacts within or near the Project area. Further, the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan is based on the same projected population numbers that were analyzed in the Final PEIR which is 383,077 at ultimate buildout of the City (346,867 within the current incorporated boundaries of the City and 36,209 within the City’s sphere of influence). Thus, implementation of the Rezone Program does not increase or significantly change the impacts on air quality as previously analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR.</p>			
<p><b>IV. Biological Resources</b> <b>Would the project:</b></p>			
<p>a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of wildlife nursery sites?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-f).</b> While the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan involves an amendment to the General Plan 2025, it does not change the analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR and has no impact on biological resources as Rezone Program consists only implements the policies and programs previously analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR. None of the Objectives, Policies, or Implementation Plan tools/programs of the Rezone Program have a significant effect on local applicable policies protecting biological resources, and therefore would not conflict with any local applicable policies protecting biological resources. Additionally, because the Rezone Program is only implementing the policies contained within the General Plan, it would not have any effect on the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other local habitat conservation plan and therefore would not conflict with such plans. Therefore, the Rezone Program would not increase impacts on biological resources beyond that which has already been analyzed under the Certified Final PEIR.</p>			
<p><b>V. Cultural Resources</b>  <b>Would the project:</b></p>			
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-d).</b> While the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan involves an amendment to the General Plan 2025, it does not change the original analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR and has no impacts on cultural resources as the Rezone Program is implementing the policies contained in the adopted Housing Element</p>			
<p><b>VI. Geology and Soils</b>  <b>Would the project:</b></p>			
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:			
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-e).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan is only implementing the policies contained within the Housing Element of the General Plan and does not change the original analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR. The sites subject to the Rezone Program have been previously identified and analyzed in the Housing Element. The Rezone Program would not serve to cause any change or result in any increase in impacts related to geology and soils as the sites subject to the Rezone Program have been previously evaluated in the Certified Final PEIR.</p>			
<p><b>VII. Greenhouse Gas Emissions</b>  <b>Would the project:</b></p>			
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis a-b).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan does not change the original analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR with respect to greenhouse gas emissions. The Rezone Program implements the policies contained within the Housing Element and does not introduce additional growth beyond what was previously analyzed. As such, the Rezone Program would not increase or significantly change impacts due to greenhouse gas emissions as the sites have been previously evaluated would not affect the greenhouse gas impacts analyzed. Further, the update to the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Program “Typical Growth Scenario” and is based on the same projected population numbers that were analyzed in the Final PEIR which is 383,077 at ultimate buildout of the City (346,867 within the current incorporated boundaries of the City and 36,209 within the City’s sphere of influence).</p>			

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
<b>VII. Hazards And Hazardous Materials</b>			
<b>Would the project:</b>			
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a - h).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan does not change the original analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR. The Rezone Program would not increase or significantly change impacts related to hazards and hazardous materials and would not result in the potential for any additional hazards to the public or the environment that have not already been evaluated and mitigated to a level of less than significant. The Rezone Program does not contain provisions that would serve to cause any change or result in any increase in impacts related to hazards and hazardous materials.</p>			

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
<b>VIII. Hydrology and Water Quality</b> <b>Would the project:</b>			
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures, which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a – j).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan does not change the original analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR as the Rezone Program only implements the policies contained in the adopted Housing Element. The Rezone Program, therefore, does not increase the impacts on hydrology and water quality beyond what was previously analyzed.</p>			
<p><b>IX. Land Use and Planning</b>  <b>Would the project:</b></p>			
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-c).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan does not change the original analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR as the Rezone Program is implementing the programs contained within the adopted Housing Element. The sites subject to the Rezone Program have been previously identified and analyzed in the Housing Element. The Rezone Program would not serve to cause any change or result in any increase in impacts related to land use and planning as the sites subject to the Rezone Program have been previously evaluated.</p>			
<p><b>X. Mineral Resources</b>  <b>Would the project:</b></p>			
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a, b).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan would not change this analysis or increase or significantly change the impacts on mineral resources performed in the Certified Final PEIR. This is because the Rezone Program is implementing the policies contained within the Housing Element and no provision within the Rezone Program has any relationship to or would serve to cause any change or result in any increase in impacts related to mineral resources.</p>			

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
<b>XI. Noise</b> <b>Would the project:</b>			
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-f).</b> A thorough noise analysis was presented in the Certified Final PEIR. The changes proposed by Rezone Program would not involve any activities that would increase noise that have not been previously analyzed. This is because the Rezone Program is only implementing the policies contained within the Housing Element and does not introduce additional noise impacts beyond what was previously analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR. There are no provisions within any of the Rezone Program has any relationship to or would serve to cause any change or result in any increase in impacts related to noise.</p>			
<b>XII. Population and Housing</b> <b>Would the project:</b>			
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (e.g., by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (e.g., through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-c).</b> The changes proposed by the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan do not change this analysis or increase or significantly change impacts to population and housing that was previously analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR. The Rezone Program is only implementing the policies contained in the adopted Housing Element.</p>			
<p><b>XIII. Public Services</b>  <b>Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:</b></p>			
<p>a) Fire Protection?                      b) Police Protection?                      c) Schools?                      d) Parks?                      e) Other public facilities?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-e).</b> The Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan does not change the original analysis conducted in the Certified Final PEIR or increase or significantly change impacts to public services as the Rezone Program is only implementing the policies contained within the Housing Element and does not introduce new impacts beyond what was previously analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR. No provision within the Rezone Program has any relationship to or would serve to cause any change or result in any increase in impacts related to public services.</p>			
<p><b>XIV. Recreation</b></p>			
<p>a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?                      b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/>  <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a, b).</b> The changes proposed by the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan does not change this analysis or increase or significantly change impacts to recreation analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR. The Rezone Program only implements the policies contained within the Housing Element and does not introduce impacts beyond what was previously analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR.</p>			

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
<b>XV. Transportation/Traffic</b> <b>Would the project:</b>			
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-f).</b> Traffic impacts were evaluated in the Certified Final PEIR for the General Plan. Potential impacts associated with traffic load and capacity, conflicts with applicable congestion management programs, changes in air traffic patterns resulting in safety risks increase in hazards due to design features, inadequate emergency access, and alternative modes of transportation were found to be less than significant without mitigation.</p> <p>The changes proposed by Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan do not change this analysis or increase or significantly change impacts to transportation/traffic. The sites subject to the Rezone Program have been previously identified and analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR.</p>			
<b>XVI. Utilities and Service Systems</b> <b>Would the project:</b>			
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis (a-g).</b> Since certification of the Final PEIR for the General Plan 2025, changes that would affect the prior analysis for water supply were considered as part of the Fourth Addendum to determine whether sufficient water supplies would be available to meet the projected demand. Therefore, the Fourth Addendum analysis relied upon the City of Riverside Public Utilities Department (RPU) 2009 Water Supply Plan and updated information in written correspondence provided by Western Municipal Water District (WMWD) on July 4, 2009. The Fourth Addendum evaluated the potential impacts associated with the sites subject to the Rezone Program.</p> <p>Based on the updated water supply information and analysis provided in the Fourth Addendum, RPU and WMWD have found there would be adequate water supply to meet the projected demand of the General Plan 2025. The Rezone Program is only implementing the policies of the Housing Element and therefore, does not adversely affect this analysis or increase or significantly change impacts to Utilities and Service systems including water supply.</p>			
<p><b>XVII. Mandatory Findings of Significance</b></p>			
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Environmental Issues	New Significant Impact	More Severe Impacts	No Substantial Change From Previous Analysis
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis.</b> The changes proposed by the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan do not adversely affect this analysis or increase or significantly change impacts to habitat of fish or wildlife species because the Rezone Program is only implementing the policies contained within the Housing Element and does not introduce any additional impacts that were not previously analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR and associated Addendums.</p>			
<p>b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis.</b> The cumulative effects of the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan do not change this analysis or increase or significantly change the Program's cumulative impacts previously analyzed in the Certified Final PEIR and associated Addendums.</p>			
<p>c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>No Substantial Change from Previous Analysis.</b> Potential direct and indirect impacts that result from the Rezone Program for the Implementation of the 2006-2014 Housing Element of the General Plan are the same as were discussed in detail in the Environmental Impact Analysis, Section 7.5, within each issue area, and are summarized throughout the entire Certified Final PEIR document. The changes proposed by the Rezone Program does not change the original analysis previously performed in the Certified Final PEIR and does not increase or significantly change the Program's direct or indirect effects on human beings.</p>			

**ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION**

Based upon the evidence in light of the whole record documented in the attached environmental checklist explanation and cited incorporations:

- I find that the amended project has previously been analyzed as part of an earlier CEQA document. The amended project is a component of the whole action analyzed in the previous CEQA document.
- I find that the amended project has previously been analyzed as part of an earlier CEQA document. Minor additions and/or clarifications are needed to make the previous documentation adequate to cover the project which are documented in this addendum to the earlier CEQA document (CEQA § 15164).
- I find that the amended project has previously been analyzed as part of an earlier CEQA document. However, there is important new information and/or substantial changes have occurred requiring the preparation of an additional CEQA document (ND or EIR) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162 through 15163.

Signed \_\_\_\_\_  
Steve Hayes, City Planner

Date \_\_\_\_\_

## SECTION 4 REFERENCES

- Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report – City of Riverside General Plan 2025 Program, Certified Final Environmental Impact Report, State Clearinghouse #2004021108, Volumes I, II & III, Certified November 20, 2007.*
- First Addendum To The Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) For The General Plan 2025 Program dated February 24, 2009.*
- Second Addendum To The Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) For The General Plan 2025 Program dated November 10, 2009.*
- Third Addendum To The Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) For The General Plan 2025 Program dated March 20, 2012.*
- Fourth Addendum To The Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) For The General Plan 2025 Program dated July 24, 2012.*
- Fifth Addendum To The Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) For The General Plan 2025 Program dated November 13, 2012.*
- General Plan 2025, adopted November 20, 2007.*
- General Plan 2025 Implementation Plan, adopted November 20, 2007.*
- City of Riverside Public Utilities Department 2009 Water Supply Plan*