

CITY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA JUNE 30, 2020

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT





WWW.LSLCPAS.COM

CITY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2020

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page <u>Number</u>

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	.1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	.3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020	6
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	.8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020	9
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019	11



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Riverside, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Riverside, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 21, 2021.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.





To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Riverside, California

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

Brea, California January 21, 2021



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Riverside, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Riverside (the City), California's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2020. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City, complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2020.





To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Riverside, California

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated January 21, 2021, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Riverside, California

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

Brea, California

February 17, 2021 (except for the schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards which is as of January 21, 2021).

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

	Federal CFDA	Pass-Through Entity Identifying	Passed Through to		
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	Subrecipients	Expenditures	
CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster					
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct Programs:					
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants *	14.218	N/A	\$ 492,117	\$ 3,277,326	
CDBG Loans Program with Compliance Requirements	14.218	N/A		115,317	
Total CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster			492,117	3,392,643	
Federal Transit Cluster					
U.S. Department of Transportation Direct Programs:					
Federal Transit - Formula Grants	20.507	N/A	-	440,414	
Bus and Bus Facilities Formula Program	20.526	N/A		264,940	
Total Federal Transit Cluster				705,354	
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster					
U.S. Department of Transportation					
Pass-through the California Department of Transportation:	20.205	vorious		1,583,439	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	various			
Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster				1,583,439	
Highway Safety Cluster					
U.S. Department of Transportation Pass-through State of California Office of Traffic Safety:					
State and Community Highway Safety *	20.600	various	-	201,218	
National Priority Safety Programs *	20.616	OP1507		56,046	
Total Highway Safety Cluster				257,264	
Other Programs					
U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service					
Pass-through Riverside County Department of Public Health: State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental					
Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561	13-20527	-	125,128	
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service				125,128	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development:					
Direct Programs:					
Emergency Solutions Grants Program	14.231	N/A	135,617	397,726	
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	N/A	-	1,099,153	
HOME Loans Program with Compliance Requirements	14.239	N/A		1,104,927	
Total Home Investment Partnerships Program			-	2,204,080	
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS * Continuum of Care Program	14.241 14.267	N/A N/A	2,763,489	2,816,597 247,991	
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	14.207	IN/A	2,899,106	5,666,394	
			2,899,100	5,000,394	
U.S. Department of Interior Direct Programs:					
Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid	15.904	N/A	-	862	
Total U.S. Department of Interior			-	862	
U.S. Department of Justice					
Direct Programs:					
Missing Children's Assistance	16.543	N/A	-	936	
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607	N/A	-	5,169	
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Body Worn Camera Policy and Implementation	16.738 16.835	N/A N/A	104,247	191,129 96,274	
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	N/A	-	391,920	
Total U.S. Department of Justice			104,247	685,428	
U.S. Department of Transportation			· · · · · ·		
Direct Programs:					
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	N/A	-	56,237	
Pass-through State of California Office of Traffic Safety:					
Minimum Depekting for Depekt Offenders for Driving While Interviews	20.608	various	-	145,091	
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.000	, and a		,	

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Expenditures	
U.S. Department of Treasury					
Direct Programs:					
Asset Forfeiture	21.000	N/A	\$ -	\$ 160,853	
Total U.S. Department of Treasury				160,853	
Executive Office of the President - Office of National Drug Control Policy Pass-through State of California:					
High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program	95.001	07-I5PLAP540Z	-	277,459	
Total Executive Office of the President - Office of National Drug Control Policy				277,459	
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Direct Programs:					
National Urban Search and Rescue (US&R) Response System	97.025	N/A	-	1,278,492	
Pass-through State of California: Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	065-62000	37,573	753,852	
Pass-through Riverside County: Homeland Security Grant Program Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.067 97.042	various 2011-0048	-	115,091 18,091	
	01.012	2011 0010	27 572		
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			37,573	2,165,526	
Total Other Programs			3,040,926	9,282,978	
Total Federal Expenditures			\$ 3,533,043	\$ 15,221,678	

* Denotes major program

Note a: Refer to Note 1 to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards for a description of significant accounting policies used in preparing this schedule.

Note b: There were no federal awards expended in the form of noncash assistance and insurance in effect during the year.

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

a. Scope of Presentation

The accompanying schedule presents only the expenditures incurred by the City of Riverside, California, that are reimbursable under federal programs of federal financial assistance. For the purposes of this schedule, federal awards include both federal financial assistance received directly from a federal agency, as well as federal funds received indirectly by the City from a non-federal agency or other organization. Only the portion of program expenditures reimbursable with such federal funds is reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenditures in excess of the maximum federal reimbursement authorized or the portion of the program expenditures that were funded with state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

b. Basis of Accounting

The expenditures included in the accompanying schedule were reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, expenditures are incurred when the City becomes obligated for payment as a result of the receipt of the related goods and services. Expenditures reported included any property or equipment acquisitions incurred under the federal program.

c. Indirect Cost Rate

The City has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

Note 2: Federal Loan Programs with Compliance Requirements

Loans outstanding at the beginning of the year and loans made during the year are included in the federal expenditures presented in the accompanying schedule. The balance of loans outstanding with compliance requirements at June 30, 2020, consists of:

CFDA Number	Program Name	Balance at June 30, 2020		
14.218 14.239	CDBG Loans Program HOME Loans Program	\$	118,399 1,104,927	
Total loans subj	ect to continuing compliance requirements	\$	1,223,326	

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued: Unmodified Opinion Internal control over financial reporting: Significant deficiencies identified? <u>X</u>no _yes Material weaknesses identified? X none reported yes Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? <u>X</u>no __yes Federal Awards Internal control over major programs: Significant deficiencies identified? yes X no Material weaknesses identified? yes X none reported Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified Opinion Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance)? <u>X</u>no _yes Identification of major programs: CFDA Number(s) Name of Federal Program or Cluster 14.218 CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster 14.241 Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS 20.600, 20.616 **Highway Safety Cluster** Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B program \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? <u>X</u>yes ____no


SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

No matters were reported.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.



Finance Department

City of Arts & Innovation

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding 2019–001: Allocation of Net Pension Liability and Related Deferred Outflows/Inflows

Condition:

During the audit, It was noted that the net pension liability and related deferred outflows/inflows for the City's safety pension plan were being improperly allocated to the City's proprietary funds.

Criteria:

Net pension liability and related deferred outflows/inflows related to pension must be allocated where the employee costs are recorded.

Cause of Condition:

The City net pension liability and related deferred outflows/inflows for both its miscellaneous and safety plans are allocated uniformly across all funds.

Effect of Condition:

Proprietary funds are being charged for safety employee pension and related costs when they do not bear the cost of safety employees, and government-wide activity is being under charged for the safety employee pension and related costs.

Recommendation:

A prior period adjustment of \$12,548,502 was proposed to properly redistribute these related pensions items. Going forward, we recommend that the miscellaneous and safety pension plans year end journal entries to adjust net pension liability and related items according to GASB 68 be handled separately and be allocated where respective employee costs are recorded.

Management's Response:

Management concurs. While the allocation was done in error and noted by the current auditors, it should be noted the same methodology and process has been consistently applied. The correction has no impact on cash or cash outflow and is a correction of an accounting entry. The City will implement fiscal year-end procedures to ensure that miscellaneous and safety pension plan year-end journal entries are handled separately and allocated where respective employee costs are recorded.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.